

NATIONAL
ENVIRONMENTAL
scorecard

09

FIRST SESSION 111TH CONGRESS



LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS *

JOHN H. ADAMS

Natural Resources Defense Council

MARCIA ARONOFF

Environmental Defense Fund

PAUL AUSTIN

Conservation Minnesota & Conservation
Minnesota Voter Center

BRENT BLACKWELDER, HONORARY

Friends of the Earth

**THE HONORABLE SHERWOOD BOEHLERT,
VICE CHAIR**

Accord Group

MARCIA BYSTRYN, SECRETARY

New York League of Conservation Voters

JAMES KIMO CAMPBELL

Pohaku Fund

CARRIE CLARK

Conservation Council of North Carolina

GEORGE FRAMPTON, JR.

Covington & Burling

WADE GREENE, HONORARY

Rockefeller Family & Associates

LISA GUTHRIE

Virginia League of Conservation Voters

RAMPA R. HORMEL

Enlyst Fund

JOHN HUNTING, HONORARY

John Hunting & Associates

MARYANNE TAGNEY JONES**TOM KIERNAN, TREASURER**

National Parks Conservation Association

MICHAEL KIESCHNICK

Working Assets

PETER MANDELSTAM

Bluewater Wind

WILLIAM H. MEADOWS III

The Wilderness Society

JORGE MURSULI

Democracia U.S.A.

SCOTT A. NATHAN

The Baupost Group, LLP

JOHN D. PODESTA

Center for American Progress

BILL ROBERTS, CHAIR

The Atlantic Philanthropies

LARRY ROCKEFELLER

American Conservation Association

**THEODORE ROOSEVELT IV,
HONORARY CHAIR**

Barclays Capital

DONALD K. ROSS

Rockefeller Family & Associates

LAURA TURNER SEYDEL

Turner Foundation

DIANNE STERN**LYNDE B. UIHLEIN**

Brico Fund, LLC

KATHLEEN WELCH

LCV ISSUES & ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE *

MARCIA ARONOFF

Environmental Defense Fund

BRENT BLACKWELDER

Friends of the Earth

MARCIA BYSTRYN

New York League of Conservation Voters

WESLEY WARREN

Natural Resources Defense Council

KATHLEEN WELCH

LCV SCORECARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE *

ANNA AURILIO

Environment America

MIKE DAULTON

National Audubon Society

ROBERT DEWEY

Defenders of Wildlife

MAUREEN DROUIN

Maine League of Conservation Voters

KURT FRITTS

Washington Conservation Voters

DAVID GOLDSTON

Natural Resources Defense Council

MARTY HAYDEN

Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund

DAVID JENKINS

Republicans for Environmental Protection

ADAM KOLTON

National Wildlife Federation

MICHAEL FRANCIS

The Wilderness Society

CRAIG LASHER

Population Action International

CRAIG OBEY

National Parks Conservation Association

CHRIS OSBORNE

Georgia Conservation Voters

NANCY PERRY

The Humane Society of the United States

ERICH PICA

Friends of the Earth

MELINDA PIERCE

Sierra Club

KERRY SCHUMANN

Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

CINDY SHOGAN

Alaska Wilderness League

KAREN STEUER

Pew Environment Group

ELIZABETH THOMPSON

Environmental Defense Fund

MARCHANT WENTWORTH

Union of Concerned Scientists

CONTENTS

1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 1st Session of the 111th Congress 2

Voting Summary 4

2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 8

Senate Votes 13

3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 19

House Votes 24

4. INDEX

Members of the 1st Session of the 111th Congress 41

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day. LCV works to turn environmental values into national priorities.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all members of the first session of the 111th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from about 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which members of Congress should be graded. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, global warming, environmental health and safety protections, public lands and wildlife conservation and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented members of Congress with a real choice and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Issues & Accountability Committee, and Scorecard Advisory Committee for their valuable input.

LCV has already published a Presidential Report Card, assigning President Obama a solid B+ grade for his impressive accomplishments on clean energy and climate issues during his first year in office. To view the report card visit www.lcv.org/reportcard.

Cover images of solar panels and wind turbine courtesy of DOE/NREL.



The 2009 *National Environmental Scorecard* illustrates the extent to which the Obama administration and the 111th Congress began to move our nation towards a new energy future that will reinvigorate our economy, create jobs, make America more energy independent and protect the planet from global warming pollution.

As we have often said, elections have consequences. The individuals whom we elect to Congress determine the laws that govern our air, land, and water. So in addition to working to enact policies that safeguard the environment, invest in clean energy and protect public health, LCV works to elect pro-environment candidates who will help implement such policies.

Much of the success of the first session of the 111th Congress, including passage of comprehensive clean energy and climate legislation in the House of Representatives, is due to the results of the 2008 election. Aside from electing a strong environmental champion in President Barack Obama, pro-environment majorities in both chambers of Congress were strengthened. Consider that each of the six new senators endorsed by LCV in 2008 earned a perfect 100% in 2009. In sharp contrast, the six senators they replaced had an average lifetime score of 23%. In the House, the 22 LCV-endorsed members of the class of 2008 earned an average score of 90% in 2009, whereas the members they replaced had an average lifetime score of 34%.

In the most sweeping accomplishment — not just of 2009 but perhaps in a generation — the House passed the landmark American Clean Energy and Security Act in June by a vote of 219-212. This vote marked the first

time that comprehensive global warming and clean energy legislation passed either chamber of Congress. The House-passed bill, while not perfect, would reduce global warming pollution by 17% by 2020 and 83% by 2050 and includes complementary clean energy measures to help meet those emissions reductions.

As we said when the bill was on the House floor, “*this legislation has the power to transform America. The stakes could not be higher; a safer, healthier planet and a new energy economy hang in the balance, and it’s imperative that members of Congress be on the right side of history.*” Given the scope, magnitude and urgency of addressing the climate crisis and building a clean energy economy, we have taken the extraordinary step of double scoring the House vote on final passage of the American Clean Energy and Security Act.

We would have strongly preferred to have had the opportunity to score both the House bill and a final conference report, but unfortunately the full Senate has yet to vote on comprehensive clean energy and climate legislation. In fact, on the heels of the House vote, Big Oil and other special interests launched an unprecedented smear campaign — spending millions to block energy reform and protect their corporate profits. Fortunately, in the fall of 2009, the Senate Environment & Public Works Committee

passed a comprehensive bill, and Senators John Kerry (D-MA), Lindsey Graham (R-SC), and Joe Lieberman (I-CT) undertook a tripartisan effort to pass a bill in 2010.

Prior to passage of the historic House bill, though, Congress had already begun to make great progress as the Obama administration worked with both chambers to enact the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The legislation included the single largest investment in clean energy in history — more than \$80 billion for energy efficiency, renewable energy, public transit, high-speed rail and clean energy jobs.

The administration built on this early success by sending Congress a budget that would further lead the way toward a clean energy economy, most notably by assuming revenues from putting a price on global warming pollution. Although Congress did not include these revenues in its budget, it did include much-needed funding for environmental and energy programs. This renewed emphasis on environmental funding continued with the FY 2010 Interior-Environment appropriations bill. In the fall, Congress passed and President Obama signed into law a bill that included a 17% increase in funding, much of which will go towards bringing about a new energy future.

While the Senate did not act on a comprehensive bill, senators did cast other key votes on energy and global warming, including one on the connection between global warming and national security. Both chambers also reject-

ed amendments to defund key administration staff leading the development of energy and global warming policy.

In addition to making progress on clean energy and global warming, the first session of the 111th Congress also made important strides on other key issues, perhaps most notably on public lands. Both the House and Senate passed an Omnibus Public Lands bill to protect more than 2 million acres of pristine lands across the country. The House made additional progress on other key issues included in the 2009 *Scorecard*, such as water quantity and quality, forest management, offshore drilling, wildlife conservation at home and abroad, chemical security and population.



The majority of the 11 Senate and 13 House votes included in the 2009 *Scorecard* reflect progress on a range of energy, environmental, and public health issues. This *Scorecard* clearly demonstrates a down payment on the change that voters sought in 2008. It also reveals that there is far more work to be done. The good news is that the solutions that will revive our economy, improve our national security, and protect our planet are one and the same. The biggest single step that Congress can take in 2010 is to finish the excellent work started in the House by swiftly passing a comprehensive clean energy and climate bill. As the second session of the 111th Congress gets underway, the League of Conservation Voters' top priority is to work with Congress to do just that.

VOTING SUMMARY

2009 STATE AVERAGES

STATE	SENATE	HOUSE	STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	14	26	Montana	100	7
Alaska	59	29	Nebraska	32	17
Arizona	5	57	Nevada	50	67
Arkansas	91	64	New Hampshire	59	100
California	100	63	New Jersey	100	78
Colorado	100	66	New Mexico	100	95
Connecticut	100	100	New York	100	88
Delaware	100	57	North Carolina	55	58
Florida	55	50	North Dakota	91	71
Georgia	9	38	Ohio	59	60
Hawaii	100	100	Oklahoma	0	13
Idaho	18	32	Oregon	100	83
Illinois	100	69	Pennsylvania	82	66
Indiana	50	49	Rhode Island	100	86
Iowa	50	59	South Carolina	5	37
Kansas	18	25	South Dakota	50	64
Kentucky	5	43	Tennessee	18	49
Louisiana	50	21	Texas	9	36
Maine	68	100	Utah	23	21
Maryland	100	83	Vermont	100	93
Massachusetts	100	98	Virginia	100	53
Michigan	100	67	Washington	100	71
Minnesota	100	61	West Virginia	64	67
Mississippi	23	54	Wisconsin	100	68
Missouri	55	46	Wyoming	9	0

2009 Maps of State Averages



2009 SENATE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest Senate Delegations:

California 100% · Colorado 100% · Connecticut 100% · Delaware 100% · Hawaii 100% · Illinois 100% · Maryland 100% · Massachusetts 100% · Michigan 100% · Minnesota 100% · Montana 100% · New Jersey 100% · New Mexico 100% · New York 100% · Oregon 100% · Rhode Island 100% · Vermont 100% · Virginia 100% · Washington 100% · Wisconsin 100%

Senate Scores of 100:

ARKANSAS Pryor **CALIFORNIA** Boxer · Feinstein **COLORADO** Bennet · Salazar · Udall **CONNECTICUT** Dodd · Lieberman **DELAWARE** Carper · Kaufman **FLORIDA** Nelson **HAWAII** Akaka · Inouye **ILLINOIS** Burris · Durbin **IOWA** Harkin **MARYLAND** Cardin · Mikulski **MASSACHUSETTS** Kerry · P. Kirk **MICHIGAN** C. Levin · Stabenow **MINNESOTA** Franken · Klobuchar **MONTANA** M. Baucus · Tester **NEVADA** H. Reid **NEW HAMPSHIRE** Shaheen **NEW JERSEY** Lautenberg · Menendez **NEW MEXICO** Bingaman · T. Udall **NEW YORK** Clinton · Gillibrand · Schumer **NORTH CAROLINA** Hagan **NORTH DAKOTA** Dorgan **OREGON** Merkley · Wyden **PENNSYLVANIA** Casey **RHODE ISLAND** J. Reed · Whitehouse **SOUTH DAKOTA** Tim Johnson **VERMONT** Leahy · Sanders **VIRGINIA** M. Warner · Webb **WASHINGTON** Cantwell · Murray **WISCONSIN** Feingold · Kohl

Lowest Senate Delegations:

Oklahoma 0% · Arizona 5% · Kentucky 5% · South Carolina 5% · Georgia 9% · Texas 9% · Wyoming 9%

Senate Scores of Less than 10:

ALABAMA Sessions **ARIZONA** Kyl · McCain **FLORIDA** Martinez **GEORGIA** Chambliss **IOWA** Grassley **KENTUCKY** Bunning · McConnell **LOUISIANA** Vitter **NEBRASKA** Johanns **NORTH CAROLINA** Burr **NEVADA** Ensign **OKLAHOMA** Coburn · Inhofe **SOUTH CAROLINA** DeMint · Graham **SOUTH DAKOTA** Thune **TENNESSEE** Corker **TEXAS** Cornyn **WYOMING** Barrasso · Enzi

2009 HOUSE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest House Delegations:

Connecticut 100% · Hawaii 100% · Maine 100% · New Hampshire 100% · Massachusetts 98% · New Mexico 95% · Vermont 93%

House Scores of 100:

ARIZONA Giffords · Grijalva · Pastor **ARKANSAS** Snyder **CALIFORNIA** Baca · Becerra · Berman · Capps · Chu · Eshoo · Farr · Filner · Garamendi · Honda · Lee · Lofgren · Matsui · Napolitano · Richardson · Loretta Sanchez · Schiff · Sherman · Solis · M. Thompson · Watson · Waxman · Woolsey **COLORADO** DeGette · Perlmutter · Polis **CONNECTICUT** Courtney · DeLauro · Himes · J. Larson · C. Murphy **FLORIDA** Castor · Grayson · R. Klein · Kosmas · K. Meek · Wexler **HAWAII** Abercrombie · Hirono **ILLINOIS** Bean · D. Davis · Gutierrez · Quigley · Rush · Schakowsky **INDIANA** A. Carson **IOWA** Braley · Loeb sack **KENTUCKY** Yarmuth **MAINE** Michaud · Pingree **MARYLAND** Cummings · D. Edwards · Sarbanes · Van Hollen **MASSACHUSETTS** Delahunt · B. Frank · E. Markey · McGovern · Olver · Tierney · Tsongas **MICHIGAN** Kildee · Kilpatrick · S. Levin · Peters · Schauer **MINNESOTA** McCollum · Oberstar **MISSISSIPPI** B. Thompson **MISSOURI** Carnahan · Clay **NEVADA** Titus **NEW HAMPSHIRE** Hodes · Shea-Porter **NEW JERSEY** Andrews · Holt · Pallone · Payne **NEW MEXICO** Heinrich · Lujan **NEW YORK** Ackerman · T. Bishop · Clarke · Crowley · J. Hall · Higgins · Hinchey · Israel · Lowey · Maffei · Maloney · McMahon · G. Meeks · Nadler · Owens · Rangel · Serrano · Slaughter · Tonko · Towns **NORTH CAROLINA** B. Miller · D. Price · Watt **OHIO** Driehaus · Kaptur · Kilroy · T. Ryan · Sutton **OREGON** Blumenauer · Wu **PENNSYLVANIA** R. Brady · Fattah · Kanjorski · Schwartz **RHODE ISLAND** Langevin **SOUTH CAROLINA** Spratt **TENNESSEE** Cohen · Gordon **TEXAS** Cuellar · Doggett · Gonzalez · A. Green · G. Green · Jackson Lee · E. Johnson **VIRGINIA** Boucher · Connolly **WASHINGTON** Dicks · Inslee · R. Larson · Adam Smith **WISCONSIN** Baldwin · Kagen · Kind · Obey

Lowest House Delegations:

Wyoming 0% · Montana 7% · Oklahoma 13% · Nebraska 17% · Louisiana 21% · Utah 21%

House Scores of 0:

ALABAMA Aderholt · S. Bachus · Bonner **ARIZONA** Flake · Franks · Shadegg **CALIFORNIA** Campbell · Dreier · Herger · Duncan D. Hunter · Lungren · K. McCarthy · McClintock · Gary Miller · Radanovich **COLORADO** Lamborn **FLORIDA** J. Miller · Stearns **GEORGIA** Broun · Deal · Gingrey · Kingston · Linder · T. Price · Westmoreland **ILLINOIS** Manzullo **INDIANA** Burton · Pence · Souder **IOWA** S. King · Latham **KANSAS** Moran · Tiahrt **LOUISIANA** Fleming · Scalise **MINNESOTA** Bachmann · J. Kline **MISSOURI** Akin · Blunt **NEBRASKA** Adrian Smith **NEW JERSEY** Garrett **NORTH CAROLINA** Coble · Foxx · McHenry · Myrick · **OHIO** Austria · Boehner · Jordan · Latta **OKLAHOMA** Fallin · Lucas · Sullivan **PENNSYLVANIA** Pitts · G. Thompson **SOUTH CAROLINA** Barrett · J. Wilson **TENNESSEE** Blackburn **TEXAS** Barton · K. Brady · Burgess · Carter · Conaway · Culberson · Gohmert · Granger · R. Hall · Hensarling · S. Johnson · Marchant · Neugebauer · Olson · Paul · Poe · P. Sessions · Thornberry **UTAH** R. Bishop · Chaffetz **VIRGINIA** Cantor **WASHINGTON** Hastings · McMorris Rodgers **WYOMING** Lummis

RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Harkin* (IA)	100	Chambliss (GA)	0
	Lincoln* (AR)	82		
Appropriations	Inouye (HI)	100	Cochran (MS)	27
Commerce, Science, and Transportation	Rockefeller (WV)	91	Hutchison (TX)	18
Energy and Natural Resources	Bingaman (NM)	100	Murkowski (AK)	36
Environment and Public Works	Boxer (CA)	100	Inhofe (OK)	0
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	95	RANKING MEMBERS	16

HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Peterson (MN-7)	79	Lucas (OK-3)	0
Appropriations	Obey (WI-7)	100	Lewis, Jerry (CA-41)	7
Energy and Commerce	Waxman (CA-30)	100	Barton (TX-6)	0
Natural Resources	Rahall (WV-3)	86	Hastings (WA-4)	0
Science and Technology	Gordon (TN-6)	100	Hall, Ralph (TX-4)	0
Transportation and Infrastructure	Oberstar (MN-8)	100	Mica (FL-7)	7
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	94	RANKING MEMBERS	2

PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

SENATE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Reid (NV), Majority Leader	100	McConnell (KY), Minority Leader	9
Durbin (IL), Majority Whip	100	Kyl (AZ), Minority Whip	0
Schumer (NY), Conference Vice Chair	100	Alexander (TN), Conference Secretary	27
Leadership Average	100	Leadership Average	12

HOUSE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Pelosi** (CA), Speaker of the House	NA		
Hoyer (MD), Majority Leader	86	Boehner (OH), Minority Leader	0
Clyburn (SC), Whip	93	Cantor (VA), Minority Whip	0
Larson (CT), Caucus Chair	100	Pence (IN), Conference Chair	0
Leadership Average	93	Leadership Average	0

* Senator Lincoln replaced Senator Harkin as Chair on September 9, 2009.

** The Speaker of the House votes at her discretion.

2009 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



1. CLEAN ENERGY RECOVERY

The Obama administration and Congress quickly took steps to address the nation's economic crisis early in 2009. As job losses mounted, numerous proposals circulated as to how best to stimulate the economy, repositioning the United States for sustainable economic growth. Investing in clean energy research, development and deployment can solidify America's status as an economic power while creating jobs, improving our national security and protecting the planet.

In February, the Senate took up H.R. 1, The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The \$787 billion package included nearly \$80 billion in clean-energy investments, by far the largest down payment in renewable technology in American history. Included in this funding were resources to rebuild the national electricity grid to promote efficiency and accommodate next-generation technology, tax credits for clean energy businesses, weatherization of low-income homes and investments in cleaner transportation like high-speed rail. Together, these investments would preserve 390,000 jobs and reduce oil consumption by 15 million barrels of oil per year.

On February 13, the Senate passed the H.R. 1 conference report by a vote of 60-38 (Senate roll call vote 64). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed the conference report on the same day, and the President signed the bill into law on February 17.



2. PUBLIC LANDS PROTECTION

The Omnibus Public Land Management Act, considered one of the most important public land conservation measures in decades, designated more than 2 million acres of public lands as wilderness in nine states and established three new national park units, a new national monument, three new national conservation areas, more than 1,000 miles of national wild and scenic rivers and four new national trails. The Act enlarged the boundaries of more than a dozen existing national park units and established ten new national heritage areas. It also formally established the National Landscape Conservation System, containing millions of acres of wilderness, monuments and conservation areas that are managed by the Bureau of Land Management.

The Omnibus Public Land Management Act also authorized numerous land exchanges and conveyances to help Western communities, addressed water resource and supply issues and launched programs to study the effects of climate change on natural resources. A provision not supported by the conservation community was a measure that may permit a road within the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge in Alaska.

On January 11, in its first vote of the session, the Senate invoked cloture on the public lands bill by a vote of 66-12 (Senate roll call vote 1). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 146 on March 25, and the President signed the bill into law on March 30.

3. BUDGET

When the President's annual budget is submitted, typically in February, Congress begins to develop its own budget plan that reflects its spending priorities. The federal budget resolution sets funding levels for the next fiscal year and sets forth budget totals for the next five years.

Because the budget resolution determines the spending authority of Senate appropriations committees, the federal budget is a powerful tool for establishing national policy priorities. Programs that protect our air, water, climate, wildlife, parks, forest, refuges and other public lands fall under the Interior-Environment Appropriations Subcommittee.

S. Con Res 13 marks the third year of reversing cuts to many important environmental and conservation programs that occurred for nearly a decade. The budget agreement increases investments in a clean energy economy, supporting a healthier environment and paving the way for comprehensive climate legislation this year. The concurrent resolution allows for up to \$1.086 trillion in non-emergency discretionary spending for fiscal 2010. It also creates a deficit-neutral reserve fund for climate change legislation.

As a concurrent resolution the bill does not need the President's signature. On April 29, the Senate passed S. Con. Res. 13, by a vote of 53-43 (Senate roll call vote 173). The House approved this resolution that same day, setting the congressional budget for fiscal year 2010. **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

4. ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING

The Interior-Environment appropriations bill allocates yearly funding for many federal environment and natural resource programs that protect our public lands, wildlife, air and water and safeguard communities from toxic pollution. In addition, as the nation increasingly grapples with the need to address climate change and its impacts, the Interior-Environment appropriations bill has become an important vehicle for making advances on this critical front.

H.R. 2996, the FY 2010 bill as passed by the Senate, while not perfect, continues to take important strides in reviving programs devastated by years of starvation budgets, providing a total of \$32.1 billion, \$4.5 billion (16%) over the FY 2009 level. The bill provided significant funding in a number of areas including \$3.63 billion for clean drinking water and wastewater; \$478 million to protect great bodies of water such as the Great Lakes and Chesapeake Bay; \$419 million for the Land and Water Conservation Fund and Forest Legacy; and significant increases for wildlife conservation and to bolster management of our national forests, wildlife refuges, parks, monuments and other public lands.

In addition, the Federal Land Assistance, Management and Enhancement (FLAME) Act of 2009 was added as an amendment to the bill on the Senate floor, intended to help create a dedicated, steady and predictable funding stream for wildfire suppression activities and to alleviate the pressure from fire fighting activities on regular agency budgets.

On June 26, H. R. 2996 passed the Senate by a vote of 77-21 (Senate roll call vote 298). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The conference report on the Interior-Environment appropriations bill was passed by both chambers and signed into law by the President on October 30.





5. NATIONAL SECURITY & CLIMATE CHANGE

Defense experts have begun to focus on the national security implications of climate change. In 2008, the National Intelligence Council, which produces government-wide intelligence analyses, finished the first assessment of the national security implications of climate change. It concluded that climate change by itself would have significant geopolitical impacts around the world and would contribute to a host of problems, including poverty, environmental degradation and the weakening of national governments.

On September 25, the President announced the creation of a new office in the Central Intelligence Agency to study the future implications of climate change: the Center on Climate Change and National Security. This group within the CIA will assess the national security implications of increased competition for resources, population shifts, water shortages, changes in crop yields, and the spread of climate-sensitive diseases such as malaria.

On October 6, Senator John Barrasso (R-WY) offered an amendment to the FY 2010 Defense appropriations bill to eliminate funding for this office. The amendment failed on a vote of 38-60 (Senate roll call vote 307). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



6. DEFUNDING ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY STAFF

Given the urgent need to bring about a new energy future and reduce global warming pollution, President Obama created the position of Assistant to the President for Energy and Climate Change. The President has directed her to coordinate the work of multiple Cabinet level agencies on climate change and the creation of clean energy and clean energy jobs that are essential for long-term economic growth. Her power and influence relies primarily on persuasion and in communicating to the federal agencies decisions of the President.

The amendment to the Interior-Environment appropriations bill by Senator David Vitter (R-LA) would cut off funding for any action that an agency directed by the Assistant to the President for Energy and Climate Change undertook. The goal was to weaken the President's energy and climate advisor and throw into question the legality of the implementation of the President's energy and climate agenda.

Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) offered an amendment to table the Vitter amendment. On September 24, the amendment passed 57-41 (Senate roll call vote 295). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



7. POLAR BEAR PROTECTIONS & GLOBAL WARMING

The FY 2009 Omnibus appropriations bill (H.R. 1105), included a provision, Section 429, that would allow the Obama administration to quickly withdraw two damaging regulations proposed near the end of the Bush administration that would weaken implementation of the Endangered Species Act and harm the imperiled polar bear. Neither of these rules underwent sufficient public process or opportunity for comment.

The first of these rules would allow federal agencies to decide on their own if their actions would harm a threatened or endangered species. Many of these agencies do not have scientists or other staff qualified to make such a determination. This rule was intended to disallow consideration of the impact of greenhouse gas emissions on polar bears or other wildlife affected by global warming, as well as limit protection of all listed species and critical habitat from a host of indirect effects resulting from federal actions, permits or funding decisions.

The second of the rules would effectively ensure that the polar bear receives no additional protection by being listed under the ESA. Although the Bush administration was unable to avoid listing the polar bear in the face of overwhelming scientific evidence that the species faces extinc-

tion in the United States by mid-century because of global warming, it instead quickly endeavored to ensure that the listing would have no real effect.

Senator Murkowski (R-AK) offered an amendment to the Omnibus appropriations bill that would have delayed replacement of the flawed rules under the pretext of providing opportunity for public comment. On March 5, the Murkowski amendment was rejected by a vote of 42-52 (Senate roll call vote 82). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The President signed the Omnibus appropriations bill into law on March 11 with Section 429 intact.

8. WATER RESOURCES

The Bay-Delta Estuary is the largest estuary on the West Coast. It is an ecosystem that supports an important array of species including listed salmon, steelhead, sturgeon, and smelt populations. In recent years, the Delta ecosystem has been on the verge of collapse. Water diversions from the Delta have depressed salmon numbers and resulted in the closure of the salmon fishery for the last two years. This has caused an economic disaster along hundreds of miles of the Pacific Coast, with estimated losses in California of \$2.8 billion and 23,000 jobs in the commercial and recreational fishing industries in 2008 and 2009.

During consideration of FY 2010 Interior-Environment appropriations bill, Senator James DeMint (R-SC) introduced an amendment to instruct the Senate Appropriations Committee to prohibit funding for court-mandated protections, or a biological opinion, for the California Bay Delta Estuary and for the threatened and endangered species that depend on the estuary. The amendment would have also threatened thousands of fishing jobs.

Implementation of these protections is critical to restoring the health of the Bay Delta ecosystem and sustaining California's sport and commercial salmon fishery. The biological opinion is the result of several years of consultation with state and federal agencies and has undergone two separate peer review studies.

On September 22, Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) offered a motion to table the DeMint amendment. The motion was passed by a vote of 61-36 (Senate roll call vote 292). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

9. OFFSHORE DRILLING

By renewing each year a Congressional moratorium on new drilling in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), Congress had protected for more than 25 years our sensitive coastal waters of the Atlantic, Pacific and eastern Gulf of Mexico from offshore oil and gas exploration and development. That moratorium expired in 2008, and the Bush Administration issued a new five-year plan to govern leasing and development in these areas. When President Obama took office in 2009, Secretary Salazar issued a "time out" on new drilling and suspended the Bush OCS program to allow the new administration to evaluate future options for offshore energy development.

On September 23, during consideration of the FY 2010 Interior-Environment appropriations bill, Senator David Vitter (R-LA) offered a motion to recommit the bill to the Senate Appropriations Committee with instructions that it be reported back immediately with an amendment that would bar the use of funds in the bill to delay the Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing Program. Senator Vitter was attempting to end the suspension imposed by the Obama administration and have the Bush drilling plan reinstated. Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) introduced a motion to table the Vitter amendment, which prevailed by a vote of 56-42 (Senate roll call vote 293). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**





10. LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

The Land and Water Conservation Fund is used by land management agencies to protect recreational access, natural resources and cultural heritage sites.

During consideration of the Interior-Environment appropriations bill Senator Tom Coburn (R-OK) offered an amendment that would have taken funding for land acquisition under the Land and Water Conservation Fund and authorized it for operations, maintenance, and repair or rehabilitation projects for constructed assets. Had this amendment passed, the Land and Water Conservation Fund would effectively have been raided for the foreseeable future to give priority to construction projects. Construction was not the original intent of the 1965 law establishing this fund, which stated that offshore development royalties be used to acquire new lands – an asset for an asset. Because of the sheer number of operations and maintenance projects in the agency pipelines, the Land and Water Conservation Fund might not have received any land acquisition money at all and this important program would cease to exist as we currently know it.

On September 24, Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) offered a motion to table the Coburn amendment, which passed by a vote of 79-19 (Senate roll call vote 297). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



11. POPULATION

By allowing women to plan the size of their families, voluntary family-planning programs also help conserve natural resources in areas where expanding human numbers threaten biodiversity and endangered species and help to reduce human vulnerability to the effects of climate change. Since the mid-1990s, family-planning opponents have cut U.S. funding for these programs by arguing, in part, that the money funds abortion. In fact, the use of U.S. foreign assistance to fund abortion has been prohibited since 1973.

On his third full day in office, President Obama rescinded Bush administration restrictions that prohibited U.S. assistance for foreign nongovernmental organizations that use funding from any other source to: 1) perform abortion in cases other than a threat to the life of the woman, rape, or incest; 2) provide counseling and referral for abortion; or 3) lobby to make abortion legal or more available in their own country.

The Bush administration's gag rule had forced clinics in Ethiopia, Kenya, Zambia and Ghana to close down. The rule has also cut off many family-planning organizations from contraceptive supplies and impeded efforts to reduce unintended pregnancies and abortion and to prevent the spread of HIV/AIDS.

During debate on an unrelated State Children's Health Insurance Program reauthorization bill, Senator Mel Martinez (R-FL) offered an amendment to restore the Bush administration's policy by nullifying President Obama's January 23 presidential memorandum and by prohibiting U.S. family planning assistance to "any private, nongovernmental, or multilateral organization that performs or actively promotes abortion as a method of birth control."

On January 28, the Senate rejected the Martinez amendment by a 37-60 vote (Senate roll call vote 19). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Clean Energy Recovery	2 Public Lands Protection	3 Budget	4 Environmental Funding	5 National Security & Climate Change	6 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	7 Polar Bear Protections & Global Warming	8 Water Resources	9 Offshore Drilling	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund	11 Population
ALABAMA															
Sessions, J.	(R)	9	15	6	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗
Shelby	(R)	18	12	14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
ALASKA															
Begich	(D)	82		82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
Murkowski	(R)	36	27	18	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
ARIZONA															
Kyl	(R)	0	15	8	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
McCain	(R)	9	0	23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
ARKANSAS															
Lincoln	(D)	82	77	51	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✓
Pryor	(D)	100	73	64	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CALIFORNIA															
Boxer	(D)	100	89	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Feinstein	(D)	100	92	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
COLORADO															
Bennet*	(D)	100		100	✓	Ⓜ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Salazar, K.*	(D)	100	85	81	Ⓜ	✓	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ
Udall, Mark	(D)	100	94	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CONNECTICUT															
Dodd	(D)	100	77	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lieberman	(I)	100	96	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
DELAWARE															
Carper	(D)	100	96	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kaufman**	(D)	100		100	✓	Ⓜ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Senator Bennet was sworn in January 22, 2009 following the appointment of Senator Salazar to Secretary of the Interior on January 20, 2009.

**Senator Kaufman was sworn in January 16, 2009 following the election of Senator Biden to the Vice Presidency.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES													
		% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Clean Energy Recovery	2 Public Lands Protection	3 Budget	4 Environmental Funding	5 National Security & Climate Change	6 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	7 Polar Bear Protections & Global Warming	8 Water Resources	9 Offshore Drilling	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund	11 Population
FLORIDA															
LeMieux*	(R)	17		17	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗	✗	ⓘ	✗	✗	✓	ⓘ
Martinez*	(R)	0	23	14	✗	?	✗	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗
Nelson, Bill	(D)	100	96	64	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
GEORGIA															
Chambliss	(R)	0	8	5	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
Isakson	(R)	18	8	9	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
HAWAII															
Akaka	(D)	100	92	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Inouye	(D)	100	85	55	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IDAHO															
Crapo	(R)	18	12	6	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Risch	(R)	18	15	18	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ILLINOIS															
Burris**	(D)	100		100	✓	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Durbin	(D)	100	96	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
INDIANA															
Bayh	(D)	82	77	77	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lugar	(R)	18	38	26	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
IOWA															
Grassley	(R)	0	31	22	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Harkin	(D)	100	88	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KANSAS															
Brownback	(R)	18	12	14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Roberts	(R)	18	8	10	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

* Senator LeMieux was sworn in September 10, 2009 following the resignation of Senator Martinez on September 9, 2009.

**Senator Burris was sworn in January 15, 2009 following the election of Senator Obama to the Presidency.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2009	LCV SCORES												
			% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Clean Energy Recovery	2 Public Lands Protection	3 Budget	4 Environmental Funding	5 National Security & Climate Change	6 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	7 Polar Bear Protections & Global Warming	8 Water Resources	9 Offshore Drilling	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund	11 Population
KENTUCKY															
Bunning	(R)	0	12	7	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
McConnell	(R)	9	8	7	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
LOUISIANA															
Landrieu	(D)	91	54	48	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
Vitter	(R)	9	0	3	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
MAINE															
Collins	(R)	64	100	70	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
Snowe	(R)	73	85	66	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
MARYLAND															
Cardin	(D)	100	96	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mikulski	(D)	100	92	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MASSACHUSETTS															
Kerry	(D)	100	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kirk, P.*	(D)	100		100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
MICHIGAN															
Levin, C.	(D)	100	81	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Stabenow	(D)	100	81	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MINNESOTA															
Franken**	(D)	100		100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	ⓘ
Klobuchar	(D)	100	92	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MISSISSIPPI															
Cochran	(R)	27	4	9	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Wicker	(R)	18	6	4	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Senator Kirk was sworn in September 25, 2009 following the passing of Senator Kennedy on August 25, 2009.

**Senator Franken was sworn in July 7, 2009 following certification of his election.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Clean Energy Recovery	2 Public Lands Protection	3 Budget	4 Environmental Funding	5 National Security & Climate Change	6 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	7 Polar Bear Protections & Global Warming	8 Water Resources	9 Offshore Drilling	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund	11 Population
MISSOURI															
Bond	(R)	18	8	7	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
McCaskill	(D)	91	77	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MONTANA															
Baucus, M.	(D)	100	81	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Tester	(D)	100	89	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEBRASKA															
Johanns	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
Nelson, Ben	(D)	55	77	44	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
NEVADA															
Ensign	(R)	0	27	27	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Reid, H.	(D)	100	92	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW HAMPSHIRE															
Gregg	(R)	18	38	43	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Shaheen	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW JERSEY															
Lautenberg	(D)	100	92	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Menendez	(D)	100	92	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW MEXICO															
Bingaman	(D)	100	96	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Udall, T.	(D)	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW YORK															
Clinton*	(D)	100	58	82	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
Gillibrand*	(D)	100	85	88	✓	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Schumer	(D)	100	92	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Senator Gillibrand was sworn in January 27, 2009 following the appointment of Senator Clinton to be Secretary of State on January 21, 2009.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓛ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Clean Energy Recovery	2 Public Lands Protection	3 Budget	4 Environmental Funding	5 National Security & Climate Change	6 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	7 Polar Bear Protections & Global Warming	8 Water Resources	9 Offshore Drilling	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund	11 Population
NORTH CAROLINA															
Burr	(R)	9	12	7	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Hagan	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH DAKOTA															
Conrad	(D)	82	81	57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓
Dorgan	(D)	100	89	64	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
OHIO															
Brown, S.	(D)	91	89	92	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Voinovich	(R)	27	19	14	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
OKLAHOMA															
Coburn	(R)	0	8	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Inhofe	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OREGON															
Merkley	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Wyden	(D)	100	92	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PENNSYLVANIA															
Casey	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Specter	(D)	64	46	45	✓	?	✗	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
RHODE ISLAND															
Reed, J.	(D)	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Whitehouse	(D)	100	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA															
DeMint	(R)	0	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Graham	(R)	9	8	10	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
SOUTH DAKOTA															
Johnson, Tim	(D)	100	58	70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Thune	(R)	0	27	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓛ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Clean Energy Recovery	2 Public Lands Protection	3 Budget	4 Environmental Funding	5 National Security & Climate Change	6 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	7 Polar Bear Protections & Global Warming	8 Water Resources	9 Offshore Drilling	10 Land and Water Conservation Fund	11 Population
TENNESSEE															
Alexander, L.	(R)	27	27	18	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
Corker	(R)	9	27	22	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
TEXAS															
Cornyn	(R)	0	8	3	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Hutchison	(R)	18	12	6	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
UTAH															
Bennett	(R)	27	12	6	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Hatch	(R)	18	15	11	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
VERMONT															
Leahy	(D)	100	89	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sanders	(I)	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
VIRGINIA															
Warner, M.	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Webb	(D)	100	88	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WASHINGTON															
Cantwell	(D)	100	92	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Murray	(D)	100	89	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WEST VIRGINIA															
Byrd	(D)	36	73	52	✓	✓	✗	?	?	?	✓	?	?	?	✓
Rockefeller	(D)	91	81	81	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WISCONSIN															
Feingold	(D)	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kohl	(D)	100	96	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WYOMING															
Barrasso	(R)	9	24	18	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Enzi	(R)	9	15	5	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

2009 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1 & 2. CLIMATE CHANGE & CLEAN ENERGY

The generational challenge of addressing global warming only grows in importance. Additionally, America faces a deepening energy crisis predicated on our growing demand for fossil fuels and our dependence on the hostile foreign nations that provide them. Absent a drastic change in the way the world uses energy, we will soon reach a tipping point from which we will not be able to reverse the course of catastrophic climate change. We have already witnessed the onset of these effects, including increases in hurricane intensity, storm frequency, and sea-level rise that threaten coastal communities. In 2009, an increased pro-environment majority in the House of Representatives and the leadership of the Obama administration led to historic progress in the fight to stop global warming.

In the spring, Representatives Henry Waxman (D-CA) and Ed Markey (D-MA) introduced H.R. 2454, the American Clean Energy and Security (ACES) Act. H.R. 2454 would reduce global warming pollution 17% from 2005 levels by 2020 and 83% by 2050. Additionally, it would mandate that 20% of American electricity consumption come from clean, renewable sources like solar and wind power, with a portion coming from gains in efficiency, by 2020. Multiple analyses showed that ACES, when paired with unprecedented clean energy investments in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, would create nearly 2 million jobs, re-energizing our economy and making America a global leader in developing the next generation of clean energy technologies.

On June 26, after months of negotiations, the House voted to adopt the American Clean Energy and Security Act by a vote of 219-212 (House roll call vote 477). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate did not bring companion legislation to the floor during 2009.

H.R. 2454 marked the first time either House of Congress passed a bill to institute an economy-wide cap on greenhouse gas emissions. Because of the historic nature of this legislation, LCV has made the decision to double-score the vote, a rarely-used practice employed only for landmark bills.

3. CLEAN ENERGY RECOVERY

The Obama administration and Congress quickly took steps to address the nation's economic crisis early in 2009. As job losses mounted, numerous proposals circulated regarding how best to stimulate the economy repositioning the United States for sustainable economic growth. Investing in clean-energy research, development and deployment can solidify America's status as an economic power while creating jobs, improving our national security and protecting our planet.

In January, the House took up H.R. 1, The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The \$787 billion package included nearly \$80 billion in clean-energy investments, by far the largest down payment on renewable technology in American history. Included in this funding were resources to rebuild the national electricity grid to promote efficiency and accommodate next-generation technology, tax credits for clean-energy businesses, weatherization of low-income homes and investments in cleaner transportation like high-speed rail. Together, these investments will preserve 390,000 jobs and reduce oil consumption by 15 million barrels of oil per year.

On February 13, the House approved the H.R. 1 conference report by a vote of 246-183 (House roll call vote 70). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate passed the conference report the same day, and the President signed the bill into law on February 17.





4. PUBLIC LANDS PROTECTION

The Omnibus Public Land Management Act, considered one of the most important public land conservation measures in decades, designated more than 2 million acres of public lands as wilderness in nine states and established three new national park units, a new national monument, three new national conservation areas, more than 1,000 miles of national wild and scenic rivers, and four new national trails. The Act enlarged the boundaries of more than a dozen existing national park units and established ten new national heritage areas. It also formally established the National Landscape Conservation System, containing millions of acres of wilderness, monuments and conservation areas that are managed by the Bureau of Land Management.

The Omnibus Public Land Management Act also authorized numerous land exchanges and conveyances to help Western communities, addressed water resource and supply issues, and launched programs to study the effects of climate change on natural resources. A provision not supported by the conservation community was a measure that may permit a road within the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge in Alaska.

On March 25, the House passed the bill 285-140 (House roll call vote 153). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** This followed Senate passage of H.R. 146 on March 19, and the President signed the bill into law on March 30.



5. BUDGET

When the President's annual budget is submitted, typically in February, Congress begins to develop its own budget plan that reflects its spending priorities. The federal budget resolution sets funding levels for the next fiscal year and sets forth budget totals for the next five years.

Because the budget resolution determines the spending authority of the House Appropriations Committee, the federal budget is a powerful tool for establishing national policy priorities. Programs that protect our air, water, climate, wildlife, parks, forest, refuges and other public lands fall under the Interior-Environment Appropriation Subcommittee.

S. Con Res 13 marks the third year of reversing cuts to many important environmental and conservation programs that occurred for nearly a decade. The budget agreement increases investments in a clean energy economy, supporting a healthier environment and paving the way for comprehensive climate legislation this year. The concurrent resolution allows for up to \$1.086 trillion in non-emergency discretionary spending for FY 2010. It also creates a deficit-neutral reserve fund for climate change legislation.

As a concurrent resolution the bill does not need the President's signature. On April 29, the House passed S. Con Res 13 by a vote of 233-193 (House roll call vote 216). That same day the Senate approved S. Con Res 13, setting the congressional budget for fiscal year 2010. **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



6. ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING

The Interior-Environment appropriations bill allocates yearly funding for many federal environment and natural resource programs that protect our public lands, wildlife, air and water and safeguard communities from toxic pollution. In addition, the Interior-Environment appropriations bill has become an important vehicle for making advances in addressing climate change.

H.R. 2996, the FY 2010 bill as passed by the House, continues to make significant progress in reviving programs devastated by many years of starvation budgets, providing a total of \$32.3 billion, \$4.7 billion (17%) more than the FY 2009 level. The bill provided significant funding in a number of areas including \$420 million for global climate change; \$3.9 billion for clean drinking water and wastewater; \$667 million to protect great bodies of water such as the Great Lakes and Chesapeake Bay; \$1.5 billion to clean up dangerous toxic waste; \$383 million for the Land and Water Conserva-

tion Fund and Forest Legacy; and significant increases for wildlife conservation and for the operations of our national forests, wildlife refuges, parks, monuments and other public lands.

In addition, the report accompanying the bill included constructive direction for developing a comprehensive national strategy to assist fish, wildlife and ecosystems in adapting to global warming; for ensuring sustainable populations of fish and wildlife as renewable energy development is expanded; and for defining national strategies and goals for land acquisition consistent with agency missions and responding to climate change.

On June 26, H.R. 2996 passed by a vote of 254-173 (House roll call vote 475). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The conference report on the Interior-Environment appropriations bill was passed by both chambers and signed into law by the President on October 30.

7. DEFUNDING ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY STAFF

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) coordinates federal environmental efforts working closely with agencies and other White House offices in the development of environmental policies and initiatives.

In addition, the President created a new position, Assistant to the President for Energy and Climate Change, and directed her to coordinate environmental, energy, climate, transport, and related matters for the federal government. Representative Paul Broun (R-GA) offered an amendment to cut off salaries for the Assistant to the President for Energy and Climate Change and her deputy as well as all the employees of the Council on Environmental Quality. The goal of defunding these positions was to weaken the Obama administration's efforts to address climate change and transition to clean energy sources.

On July 16, Broun's amendment, offered to the FY 2010 Financial Services appropriations bill, failed 149-282 (House roll call vote 558). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

8. GREENING SCHOOLS

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) reports that 25,000 public schools need extensive repair and replacement, and that it will take \$112 billion to bring existing buildings into conformity with minimum building standards. The GAO has also concluded that the air is unfit to breathe in nearly 15,000 public schools. As we improve our nation's schools we have an unprecedented opportunity to ensure they are green, energy-efficient school buildings that will provide multiple benefits for the American taxpayers, our teachers and, most importantly, our children.

The 21st Century Green High Performing Public School Facilities Act (H.R. 2187), sponsored by Representative Ben Chandler (D-KY), authorizes \$6.4 billion for school renovation and modernization projects from FY 2010 through FY 2015. The bill would authorize an additional \$100 million each of these years for supplemental grants for school districts in Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama that were affected by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. H.R. 2187 would require that school districts direct an increasing percentage of funds received to projects consistent with identified green building rating systems or Energy Star, starting with half of such funds in 2010 and reaching 100% by 2015.

On May 14, the House voted 275-155 in favor of H.R. 2187 (House roll call vote 259). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate did not act on companion legislation in 2009.





9. CLEAN WATER FUNDING

Our nation's aging water infrastructure is in need of immediate improvement--cities and states across the country are trying to cope with sewer overflows that often contain toxins and other pollutants, including microbial pathogens that threaten the public's health.

The Clean Water State Revolving Fund is intended to help address that need by providing loans to improve our nation's water quality through water-infrastructure improvement projects. Specifically, this program helps local communities meet water quality standards, protects public health and helps ensure continued progress in restoring the health and safety of America's waters by replacing old and decaying pipelines and other water infrastructure.

H.R. 1262, the Water Quality Investment Act of 2009, would fill the approximately \$3.2 billion to \$11.1 billion annual gap that exists between wastewater infrastructure needs and current funding through an investment of \$13.8 billion in federal grants over five years. In addition, it would provide \$150 million a year through 2014 to the Great Lakes Legacy Act, a program specifically designed to address sediment contamination in the Great Lakes. This legislation would also require timely notification of the public when sewer overflows occur.

On March 12, the House passed this important legislation by a vote of 317-101 (House roll call vote 123). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate did not act on companion legislation in 2009.

10. WATER RESOURCES

The Bay-Delta Estuary is the largest estuary on the West Coast. It is an ecosystem that supports an important array of species including listed salmon, steelhead, sturgeon and smelt populations. In recent years, the Delta ecosystem has been on the verge of collapse. Water diversions from the Delta have depressed salmon numbers and resulted in the closure of the salmon fishery for the last two years. This has caused an economic disaster along hundreds of miles of the Pacific Coast, with estimated losses in California of \$2.8 billion and 23,000 jobs in the commercial and recreational fishing industries in 2008 and 2009.

During consideration of H.R. 2847, the FY 2010 Commerce-Justice-Science appropriations bill, Representative Devin Nunes (R-CA) offered an amendment which would have prohibited funding of a biological opinion, or court-mandated protections, for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary and for the threatened and endangered species that depend on the estuary. The amendment would have also threatened thousands of fishing jobs. Implementation of these protections is critical to restoring the health of the Bay Delta ecosystem and sustaining California's sport and commercial salmon fishery. The biological opinion is the result of several years of consultation with state and federal agencies and has undergone two separate peer review studies.

On June 18, the amendment was defeated 208-218 (House roll call vote 366). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

11. PROTECTING THE MOLALLA RIVER

The National Wild and Scenic Rivers System was created by Congress in 1968 to preserve certain rivers with outstanding natural, cultural, and recreational value. It is notable for safeguarding the special character of these rivers, while also recognizing the potential for their appropriate use and development. It encourages river management that crosses political boundaries and promotes public participation in developing goals for river protection.

Representative Kurt Schrader (D-OR) introduced legislation (H.R. 2781) to add federal protections to 21.3 miles of the Molalla River in Oregon under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

One of the critical functions of the Molalla River is to provide clean drinking water to the cities of Molalla and Canby, Oregon. The river also supports an abundance of fish and wildlife, including native winter steelhead and salmon runs, geological wonders and a wide range of recreational opportunities.



On November 19, the bill passed by a vote of 292-133 (House roll call vote 905). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate did not act on companion legislation in 2009.

12. UNDERMINING FOREST MANAGEMENT

Currently, the U.S. Forest Service spends approximately half of its budget fighting forest fires, causing the agency to cut into non-fire programs to meet this important need. The Federal Land Assistance Management and Enhancement (FLAME) Act of 2009 (H.R. 1404), aimed to reduce the need for agencies to transfer non-fire funds to fight wildland fires when dedicated funds run out.

The amendment offered by Representative Bob Goodlatte (R-VA) would have given state foresters expanded authority to carry out potentially harmful projects on national forests. The language contained two significant National Forest Management Act waivers, which would not require state foresters to advertise timber sales and eliminate federal oversight of marking, designating, and implementing logging projects on national forest lands.

On March 26, the amendment was rejected 148-272 (House roll call vote 161). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The FLAME Act was included in the Interior-Environment appropriations bill, which the President signed into law March 30.

13. RARE CAT & DOG CONSERVATION

Wildlife around the world is increasingly imperiled by a number of threats including habitat loss and degradation, invasive species, illegal hunting, disease, illegal trade, pollution and rapid climate change. In addition, there are hazards that specifically impact great cats and rare canids, such as human-wildlife conflict, poaching and illegal wildlife trade and a diminished prey base.

Representative Jay Inslee (D-WA) authored H.R. 411, The Great Cats and Rare Canids Act, to provide financial resources authorizing up to \$5 million to support the conservation of 15 rare cat and canid populations, including lions, leopards, and jaguars. These populations live outside the United States and Europe and are all listed as endangered or threatened on the World Conservation Union (IUCN) Red List of Endangered Species.

On April 21, the House passed H.R. 411 by a vote of 290-118 (House roll call vote 194). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate Environment & Public Works Committee reported out a companion bill, but the full Senate did not take action.

14. CHEMICAL SECURITY

After the September 11th attacks, chemical plants were recognized as one of the sectors most vulnerable to terrorism. According to the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, an attack on a chemical facility in a major U.S. city could result in 100,000 casualties. According to Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) data, 110 million Americans live in vulnerability zones surrounding 300 chemical facilities.

Since 2001, more than 200 facilities switched to safer chemical processes, eliminating themselves as targets and reducing the risk posed to millions of Americans. Yet more than 6,000 chemical facilities have been designated as “high risk” by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). In 2006 Congress enacted a temporary law championed by the chemical lobby barring the DHS from requiring the use of safer chemical processes and exempted thousands of water treatment plants and port facilities. That law is set to expire on October 4, 2010.

In 2009, Representatives Thompson (D-MS), Waxman (D-CA) and Oberstar (D-MN) co-authored a compromise bill, the Chemical and Water Security Act of 2009 (H.R. 2868). H.R. 2868 authorizes the DHS and the EPA to set comprehensive security standards for all chemical facilities, requiring each plant to evaluate safer available processes and highest risk plants to use safer processes, if they are feasible and cost-effective.

On November 6, the House passed H.R. 2868 by a vote of 230-193 (House roll call vote 875). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate did not act on companion legislation in 2009.



HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2009

% 110th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Climate & Clean Energy

2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)

3 Clean Energy Recovery

4 Public Lands Protection

5 Budget

6 Environmental Funding

7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff

8 Greening Schools

9 Clean Water Funding

10 Water Resources

11 Protecting the Molalla River

12 Undermining Forest Management

13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation

14 Chemical Security

ALABAMA

1	Bonner	(R)	0	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bright	(D)	36		36	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✗
3	Rogers, Mike D.	(R)	7	21	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
4	Aderholt	(R)	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
5	Griffith	(R)	50		50	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✓
6	Bachus, S.	(R)	0	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
7	Davis, A.	(D)	86	85	69	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

ALASKA

AL	Young, D.	(R)	29	15	10	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
----	-----------	-----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

ARIZONA

1	Kirkpatrick	(D)	86		86	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Franks, T.	(R)	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Shadegg	(R)	0	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Pastor	(D)	100	91	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Mitchell	(D)	71	91	85	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Flake	(R)	0	12	10	?	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Grijalva	(D)	100	88	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Giffords	(D)	100	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

ARKANSAS

1	Berry	(D)	79	73	44	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
2	Snyder	(D)	100	88	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Boozman	(R)	7	9	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Ross	(D)	71	67	51	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗

CALIFORNIA

1	Thompson, M.	(D)	100	91	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Herger	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lungren	(R)	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
4	McClintock	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Matsui	(D)	100	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Woolsey	(D)	100	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2009			LCV SCORES													
			% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Climate & Clean Energy	Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	Clean Energy Recovery	Public Lands Protection	Budget	Environmental Funding	Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	Greening Schools	Clean Water Funding	Water Resources	Protecting the Molalla River	Undermining Forest Management	Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	Chemical Security
7	Miller, George	(D)	86	94	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
8	Pelosi	(D)		N/A	92	THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HER DISCRETION.													
9	Lee	(D)	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Garamendi*	(D)	100		100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓
10	Tauscher*	(D)	91	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	ⓘ	✓	✓	?	ⓘ	✓	✓	ⓘ
11	McNerney	(D)	93	85	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Speier	(D)	93	89	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Stark	(D)	71	100	88	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Eshoo	(D)	100	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Honda	(D)	100	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Lofgren	(D)	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Farr	(D)	100	91	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Cardoza	(D)	86	76	70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
19	Radanovich	(R)	0	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
20	Costa	(D)	71	76	66	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
21	Nunes	(R)	7	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
22	McCarthy, K.	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Capps	(D)	100	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Gallegly	(R)	7	9	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
25	McKeon	(R)	14	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
26	Dreier	(R)	0	9	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Sherman	(D)	100	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Berman	(D)	100	91	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Schiff	(D)	100	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Waxman	(D)	100	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Becerra	(D)	100	88	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
32	Chu**	(D)	100		100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓
32	Solis**	(D)	100	91	97	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
33	Watson	(D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

* Representative Garamendi was sworn in November 5, 2009 following the appointment of Representative Tauscher to Under Secretary of State for Arms Control and International Security Affairs on June 25, 2009.
 **Representative Chu was sworn in July 16, 2009 following the appointment of Representative Solis to Secretary of Labor on July 16, 2009.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Climate & Clean Energy	Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	Clean Energy Recovery	Public Lands Protection	Budget	Environmental Funding	Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	Greening Schools	Clean Water Funding	Water Resources	Protecting the Molalla River	Undermining Forest Management	Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	Chemical Security
34	Roybal-Allard	(D)	93	88	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
35	Waters	(D)	93	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
36	Harman	(D)	93	94	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
37	Richardson	(D)	100	88	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
38	Napolitano	(D)	100	91	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
39	Sánchez, Linda	(D)	79	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?
40	Royce	(R)	7	9	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
41	Lewis, Jerry	(R)	7	12	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
42	Miller, Gary	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
43	Baca	(D)	100	85	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
44	Calvert	(R)	14	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
45	Bono Mack	(R)	43	30	17	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
46	Rohrabacher	(R)	7	6	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
47	Sanchez, Loretta	(D)	100	91	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
48	Campbell	(R)	0	12	12	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
49	Issa	(R)	7	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
50	Bilbray	(R)	21	30	50	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
51	Filner	(D)	100	91	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
52	Hunter, Duncan D.	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
53	Davis, S.	(D)	93	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
COLORADO																			
1	DeGette	(D)	100	88	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Polis	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Salazar, J.	(D)	79	76	73	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Markey, B.	(D)	79		79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
5	Lamborn	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Coffman	(R)	7		7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Perlmutter	(D)	100	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CONNECTICUT																			
1	Larson, J.	(D)	100	88	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Courtney	(D)	100	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Climate & Clean Energy	2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	3 Clean Energy Recovery	4 Public Lands Protection	5 Budget	6 Environmental Funding	7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	8 Greening Schools	9 Clean Water Funding	10 Water Resources	11 Protecting the Molalla River	12 Undermining Forest Management	13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	14 Chemical Security
3	DeLauro (D)	100	88	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Himes (D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Murphy, C. (D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
DELAWARE																		
AL	Castle (R)	57	85	65	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
FLORIDA																		
1	Miller, J. (R)	0	6	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Boyd, A. (D)	93	73	55	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Brown, C. (D)	93	85	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
4	Crenshaw (R)	7	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
5	Brown-Waite, G. (R)	36	27	18	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
6	Stearns (R)	0	9	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Mica (R)	7	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
8	Grayson (D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Bilirakis (R)	14	24	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
10	Young, C.W. (R)	29	36	29	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
11	Castor (D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Putnam (R)	14	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Buchanan (R)	29	39	36	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
14	Mack (R)	7	6	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
15	Posey (R)	7		7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Rooney (R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Meek, K. (D)	100	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Ros-Lehtinen (R)	57	46	43	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
19	Wexler (D)	100	79	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Wasserman Schultz (D)	93	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Diaz-Balart, L. (R)	36	24	27	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
22	Klein, R. (D)	100	82	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	Hastings, A. (D)	79	91	82	?	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Kosmas (D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
25	Diaz-Balart, M. (R)	36	24	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2009

% 110th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Climate & Clean Energy

2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)

3 Clean Energy Recovery

4 Public Lands Protection

5 Budget

6 Environmental Funding

7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff

8 Greening Schools

9 Clean Water Funding

10 Water Resources

11 Protecting the Molalla River

12 Undermining Forest Management

13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation

14 Chemical Security

GEORGIA

			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	Kingston	(R)	0	0	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bishop, S.	(D)	93	82	48	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Westmoreland	(R)	0	3	1	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Johnson, H.	(D)	93	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Lewis, John	(D)	79	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Price, T.	(R)	0	9	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Linder	(R)	0	3	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
8	Marshall	(D)	57	61	57	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
9	Deal	(R)	0	6	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
10	Broun	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
11	Gingrey	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Barrow	(D)	79	61	66	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Scott, D.	(D)	93	85	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HAWAII

1	Abercrombie	(D)	100	73	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Hirono	(D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

IDAHO

1	Minnick	(D)	43		43	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Simpson	(R)	21	12	6	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗

ILLINOIS

1	Rush	(D)	100	64	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Jackson, J.	(D)	86	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
3	Lipinski	(D)	86	94	90	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Gutierrez	(D)	100	82	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Quigley*	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	✓	ⓘ	✓	✓	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓
6	Roskam	(R)	29	18	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
7	Davis, D.	(D)	100	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Bean	(D)	100	85	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Schakowsky	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Quigley was sworn in April 21, 2009 following the selection of Representative Emanuel to serve as White House Chief of Staff.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			LCV SCORES			LCV SCORES													
			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Climate & Clean Energy	Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	Clean Energy Recovery	Public Lands Protection	Budget	Environmental Funding	Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	Greening Schools	Clean Water Funding	Water Resources	Protecting the Molalla River	Undermining Forest Management	Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	Chemical Security
10	Kirk, M.	(R)	71	82	68	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
11	Halvorson	(D)	93		93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
12	Costello	(D)	86	82	66	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Biggert	(R)	29	49	32	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
14	Foster	(D)	64	73	68	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
15	Johnson, Timothy	(R)	43	70	64	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗
16	Manzullo	(R)	0	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Hare	(D)	93	88	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
18	Schock	(R)	29		29	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
19	Shimkus	(R)	7	9	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

INDIANA

1	Visclosky	(D)	86	85	73	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Donnelly	(D)	79	73	74	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Souder	(R)	0	15	9	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
4	Buyer	(R)	7	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Burton	(R)	0	3	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pence	(R)	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Carson, A.	(D)	100	91	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Ellsworth	(D)	86	70	74	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Hill	(D)	86	85	75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓

IOWA

1	Braley	(D)	100	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Loebsack	(D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Boswell	(D)	93	79	61	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
4	Latham	(R)	0	12	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	King, S.	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Climate & Clean Energy	Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	Clean Energy Recovery	Public Lands Protection	Budget	Environmental Funding	Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	Greening Schools	Clean Water Funding	Water Resources	Protecting the Mollala River	Undermining Forest Management	Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	Chemical Security
KANSAS																			
1	Moran, Jerry	(R)	0	12	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Jenkins	(R)	7		7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Moore, D.	(D)	93	85	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Tiahrt	(R)	0	9	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
KENTUCKY																			
1	Whitfield	(R)	29	24	15	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
2	Guthrie	(R)	7		7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Yarmuth	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Davis, G.	(R)	7	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Rogers, H.	(R)	21	6	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
6	Chandler	(D)	93	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
LOUISIANA																			
1	Scalise	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Cao	(R)	43		43	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
3	Melancon	(D)	64	64	48	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓
4	Fleming	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Alexander, R.	(R)	14	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
6	Cassidy	(R)	21		21	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Boustany	(R)	7	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
MAINE																			
1	Pingree	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Michaud	(D)	100	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MARYLAND																			
1	Kratovil	(D)	79		79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Ruppersberger	(D)	86	85	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
3	Sarbanes	(D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Edwards, D.	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Hoyer	(D)	86	91	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Climate & Clean Energy	2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	3 Clean Energy Recovery	4 Public Lands Protection	5 Budget	6 Environmental Funding	7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	8 Greening Schools	9 Clean Water Funding	10 Water Resources	11 Protecting the Molalla River	12 Undermining Forest Management	13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	14 Chemical Security
6	Bartlett	(R)	14	33	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
7	Cummings	(D)	100	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Van Hollen	(D)	100	97	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

MASSACHUSETTS

1	Olver	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Neal	(D)	93	97	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
3	McGovern	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Frank, B.	(D)	100	88	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Tsongas	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Tierney	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Markey, E.	(D)	100	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Capuano	(D)	93	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Lynch	(D)	93	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Delahunt	(D)	100	88	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

MICHIGAN

1	Stupak	(D)	93	79	68	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Hoekstra	(R)	7	3	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Ehlers	(R)	50	70	59	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	?
4	Camp	(R)	21	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
5	Kildee	(D)	100	85	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Upton	(R)	36	42	39	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
7	Schauer	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Rogers, Mike	(R)	21	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	?
9	Peters	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Miller, C.	(R)	50	27	20	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
11	McCotter	(R)	36	15	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
12	Levin, S.	(D)	100	91	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Kilpatrick	(D)	100	85	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Conyers	(D)	93	85	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Dingell	(D)	93	94	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2009

% 110th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Climate & Clean Energy

2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)

3 Clean Energy Recovery

4 Public Lands Protection

5 Budget

6 Environmental Funding

7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff

8 Greening Schools

9 Clean Water Funding

10 Water Resources

11 Protecting the Molalla River

12 Undermining Forest Management

13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation

14 Chemical Security

MINNESOTA

1	Walz	(D)	93	82	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Kline, J.	(R)	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Paulsen	(R)	21		21	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	McCollum	(D)	100	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ellison	(D)	93	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Bachmann	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Peterson	(D)	79	70	44	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Oberstar	(D)	100	82	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

MISSISSIPPI

1	Childers	(D)	64	67	65	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Thompson, B.	(D)	100	88	75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Harper	(R)	7		7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Taylor	(D)	43	79	43	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗

MISSOURI

1	Clay		100	82	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Akin	(R)	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Carnahan	(D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Skelton	(D)	93	76	43	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
5	Cleaver	(D)	93	85	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
6	Graves	(R)	7	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Blunt	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Emerson	(R)	14	15	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
9	Luetkemeyer	(R)	7		7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

MONTANA

AL	Rehberg	(R)	7	9	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
----	---------	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

NEBRASKA

1	Fortenberry	(R)	36	46	30	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
2	Terry	(R)	14	15	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Smith, Adrian	(R)	0	6	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓛ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2009

% 110th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Climate & Clean Energy

2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)

3 Clean Energy Recovery

4 Public Lands Protection

5 Budget

6 Environmental Funding

7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff

8 Greening Schools

9 Clean Water Funding

10 Water Resources

11 Protecting the Molalla River

12 Undermining Forest Management

13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation

14 Chemical Security

NEVADA

1	Berkley	(D)	93	82	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Heller	(R)	7	18	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Titus	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW HAMPSHIRE

1	Shea-Porter	(D)	100	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Hodes	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW JERSEY

1	Andrews	(D)	100	94	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	LoBiondo	(R)	79	88	75	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Adler	(D)	93		93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Smith, C.	(R)	79	82	74	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
5	Garrett	(R)	0	15	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pallone	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Lance	(R)	71		71	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
8	Pascrell	(D)	93	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
9	Rothman	(D)	93	91	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Payne	(D)	100	85	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Frelinghuysen	(R)	21	67	53	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗
12	Holt	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Sires	(D)	86	91	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓

NEW MEXICO

1	Heinrich	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Teague	(D)	86		86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Luján	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW YORK

1	Bishop, T.	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Israel	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	King, P.	(R)	36	27	20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
4	McCarthy, C.	(D)	93	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
5	Ackerman	(D)	100	91	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Climate & Clean Energy	Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	Clean Energy Recovery	Public Lands Protection	Budget	Environmental Funding	Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	Greening Schools	Clean Water Funding	Water Resources	Protecting the Molalla River	Undermining Forest Management	Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	Chemical Security
6	Meeks, G.	(D)	100	94	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Crowley	(D)	100	94	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Nadler	(D)	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Weiner	(D)	86	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	✓
10	Towns	(D)	100	91	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Clarke	(D)	100	85	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Velázquez	(D)	93	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	McMahon	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Maloney	(D)	100	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Rangel	(D)	100	91	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Serrano	(D)	100	91	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Engel	(D)	93	88	91	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Lowey	(D)	100	97	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Hall, J.	(D)	100	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Murphy, S.*	(D)	88		88	✓	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	ⓘ	✗	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓
21	Tonko	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Hinchey	(D)	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	McHugh**	(R)	67	42	26	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	ⓘ	✓	✓	ⓘ
23	Owens**	(D)	100		100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓
24	Arcuri	(D)	86	91	89	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
25	Maffei	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Lee, C.	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Higgins	(D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Slaughter	(D)	100	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Massa	(D)	86		86	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH CAROLINA																			
1	Butterfield	(D)	93	79	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
2	Etheridge	(D)	93	88	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Jones, W.	(R)	29	30	22	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
4	Price, D.	(D)	100	94	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Murphy was sworn in April 29, 2009 following the appointment of Representative Gillibrand to the Senate on January 27, 2009.

** Representative Owens was sworn in November 6, 2009 following the appointment of Representative McHugh to Secretary of the Army on September 21, 2009.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓛ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Climate & Clean Energy	2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	3 Clean Energy Recovery	4 Public Lands Protection	5 Budget	6 Environmental Funding	7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	8 Greening Schools	9 Clean Water Funding	10 Water Resources	11 Protecting the Molalla River	12 Undermining Forest Management	13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	14 Chemical Security
5	Foxx	(R)	0	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Coble	(R)	0	9	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	McIntyre	(D)	79	76	59	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Kissell	(D)	79		79	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
9	Myrick	(R)	0	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	McHenry	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Shuler	(D)	86	73	77	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
12	Watt	(D)	100	94	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Miller, B.	(D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH DAKOTA																			
AL	Pomeroy	(D)	71	85	60	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
OHIO																			
1	Driehaus	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Schmidt	(R)	21	9	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✓	✓	✗
3	Turner	(R)	43	15	12	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
4	Jordan	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Latta	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Wilson, Charlie	(D)	86	67	72	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Austria	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Boehner	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Kaptur	(D)	100	85	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Kucinich	(D)	79	85	90	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Fudge	(D)	93		93	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Tiberi	(R)	29	24	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
13	Sutton	(D)	100	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	LaTourette	(R)	43	39	27	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
15	Kilroy	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Bocchieri	(D)	93		93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
17	Ryan, T.	(D)	100	79	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Space	(D)	86	70	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2009

% 110th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Climate & Clean Energy

2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)

3 Clean Energy Recovery

4 Public Lands Protection

5 Budget

6 Environmental Funding

7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff

8 Greening Schools

9 Clean Water Funding

10 Water Resources

11 Protecting the Molalla River

12 Undermining Forest Management

13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation

14 Chemical Security

OKLAHOMA

			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	Sullivan	(R)	0	6	4	?	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Boren	(D)	57	36	32	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Lucas	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Cole	(R)	7	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Fallin	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

OREGON

			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	Wu	(D)	100	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Walden	(R)	43	15	13	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
3	Blumenauer	(D)	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	DeFazio	(D)	79	97	90	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Schrader	(D)	93		93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

PENNSYLVANIA

			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	Brady, R.	(D)	100	88	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Fattah	(D)	100	91	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Dahlkemper	(D)	86		86	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Altmire	(D)	79	79	79	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Thompson, G.	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Gerlach	(R)	43	64	56	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
7	Sestak	(D)	93	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Murphy, P.	(D)	93	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
9	Shuster	(R)	7	9	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Carney	(D)	79	82	81	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
11	Kanjorski	(D)	100	79	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Murtha	(D)	93	82	46	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
13	Schwartz	(D)	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Doyle	(D)	93	85	64	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
15	Dent	(R)	36	52	31	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
16	Pitts	(R)	0	9	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Holden	(D)	86	76	59	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2009			% 110th Congress													
		% Lifetime			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
					Climate & Clean Energy	Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	Clean Energy Recovery	Public Lands Protection	Budget	Environmental Funding	Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	Greening Schools	Clean Water Funding	Water Resources	Protecting the Molalla River	Undermining Forest Management	Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	Chemical Security
18	Murphy, T. (R)	36	24	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	?	✓	✗	✗
19	Platts (R)	36	58	36	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
RHODE ISLAND																		
1	Kennedy (D)	71	85	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	✓
2	Langevin (D)	100	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA																		
1	Brown, H. (R)	7	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗
2	Wilson, J. (R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Barrett (R)	0	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
4	Inglis (R)	21	27	29	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
5	Spratt (D)	100	88	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Clyburn (D)	93	85	83	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH DAKOTA																		
AL	Herseth Sandlin (D)	64	73	63	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
TENNESSEE																		
1	Roe (R)	7		7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Duncan (R)	7	9	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Wamp (R)	14	12	11	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Davis, L. (D)	64	79	59	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	✗
5	Cooper (D)	93	88	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Gordon (D)	100	82	66	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Blackburn (R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Tanner (D)	57	76	44	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Cohen (D)	100	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
TEXAS																		
1	Gohmert (R)	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Poe (R)	0	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
3	Johnson, S. (R)	0	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
4	Hall, R. (R)	0	0	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Hensarling (R)	0	12	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Climate & Clean Energy	Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	Clean Energy Recovery	Public Lands Protection	Budget	Environmental Funding	Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	Greening Schools	Clean Water Funding	Water Resources	Protecting the Mollala River	Undermining Forest Management	Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	Chemical Security	
6	Barton	(R)	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Culberson	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Brady, K.	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Green, A.	(D)	100	85	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	McCaul	(R)	14	9	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗
11	Conaway	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
12	Granger	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Thornberry	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Paul	(R)	0	9	27	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Hinojosa	(D)	79	67	61	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
16	Reyes	(D)	93	76	60	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
17	Edwards, C.	(D)	79	67	42	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Jackson Lee	(D)	100	85	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Neugebauer	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Gonzalez	(D)	100	85	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Smith, Lamar	(R)	7	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Olson	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Rodriguez	(D)	86	79	73	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Marchant	(R)	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Doggett	(D)	100	91	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Burgess	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Ortiz	(D)	86	52	42	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Cuellar	(D)	100	70	57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Green, G.	(D)	100	73	64	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Johnson, E.B.	(D)	100	85	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Carter	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗
32	Sessions, P.	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
UTAH																				
1	Bishop, R.	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Matheson	(D)	64	64	62	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Chaffetz	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓛ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2009

% 110th Congress

% Lifetime

- 1 Climate & Clean Energy
- 2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)
- 3 Clean Energy Recovery
- 4 Public Lands Protection
- 5 Budget
- 6 Environmental Funding
- 7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff
- 8 Greening Schools
- 9 Clean Water Funding
- 10 Water Resources
- 11 Protecting the Molalla River
- 12 Undermining Forest Management
- 13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation
- 14 Chemical Security

VERMONT

AL	Welch	(D)	93	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
----	-------	-----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

VIRGINIA

1	Wittman	(R)	21	23	22	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Nye	(D)	64		64	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Scott, R.	(D)	93	94	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Forbes	(R)	7	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Perriello	(D)	71		71	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
6	Goodlatte	(R)	14	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Cantor	(R)	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Moran, James	(D)	93	97	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
9	Boucher	(D)	100	76	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Wolf	(R)	21	49	28	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
11	Connolly	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

WASHINGTON

1	Inslee	(D)	100	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Larsen, R.	(D)	100	85	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Baird	(D)	86	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
4	Hastings, D.	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	McMorris Rodgers	(R)	0	3	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Dicks	(D)	100	94	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	McDermott	(D)	93	94	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
8	Reichert	(R)	64	79	62	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
9	Smith, Adam	(D)	100	91	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

WEST VIRGINIA

1	Mollohan	(D)	86	70	45	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Capito	(R)	29	27	28	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Rahall	(D)	86	79	67	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

WISCONSIN

1	Ryan, P.	(R)	7	18	24	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Baldwin	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2009	% 110th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Climate & Clean Energy	2 Climate & Clean Energy (2x Score)	3 Clean Energy Recovery	4 Public Lands Protection	5 Budget	6 Environmental Funding	7 Defunding Environment & Energy Staff	8 Greening Schools	9 Clean Water Funding	10 Water Resources	11 Protecting the Mollala River	12 Undermining Forest Management	13 Rare Cat & Dog Conservation	14 Chemical Security
3	Kind	(D)	100	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Moore, G.	(D)	93	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
5	Sensenbrenner	(R)	7	15	37	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
6	Petri	(R)	36	46	50	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
7	Obey	(D)	100	94	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Kagen	(D)	100	91	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WYOMING																			
AL	Lummis	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

SENATE LCV SCORES FOR 2009

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Akaka, Daniel (D) HI	100	Enzi, Michael (R) WY	9	Menendez, Robert (D) NJ	100
Alexander, Lamar (R) TN	27	Feingold, Russ (D) WI	100	Merkley, Jeff (D) OR	100
Barrasso, John (R) WY	9	Feinstein, Dianne (D) CA	100	Mikulski, Barbara (D) MD	100
Baucus, Max (D) MT	100	Franken, Al (D) MN	100	Murkowski, Lisa (R) AK	36
Bayh, Evan (D) IN	82	Gillibrand, Kirsten (D) NY	100	Murray, Patty (D) WA	100
Begich, Mark (D) AK	82	Graham, Lindsey (R) SC	9	Nelson, Ben (D) NE	55
Bennet, Michael (D) CO	100	Grassley, Charles (R) IA	0	Nelson, Bill (D) FL	100
Bennett, Robert (R) UT	27	Gregg, Judd (R) NH	18	Pryor, Mark (D) AR	100
Bingaman, Jeff (D) NM	100	Hagan, Kay (D) NC	100	Reed, Jack (D) RI	100
Bond, Christopher (R) MO	18	Harkin, Tom (D) IA	100	Reid, Harry (D) NV	100
Boxer, Barbara (D) CA	100	Hatch, Orrin (R) UT	18	Risch, Jim (R) ID	18
Brown, Sherrod (D) OH	91	Hutchison, Kay Bailey (R) TX	18	Roberts, Pat (R) KS	18
Brownback, Sam (R) KS	18	Inhofe, James (R) OK	0	Rockefeller, John (D) WV	91
Bunning, Jim (R) KY	0	Inouye, Daniel (D) HI	100	Salazar, Ken (D) CO	100
Burr, Richard (R) NC	9	Isakson, Johnny (R) GA	18	Sanders, Bernard (I) VT	100
Burriss, Roland (D) IL	100	Johanns, Mike (R) NE	9	Schumer, Charles (D) NY	100
Byrd, Robert (D) WV	36	Johnson, Tim (D) SD	100	Sessions, Jeff (R) AL	9
Cantwell, Maria (D) WA	100	Kaufman, Ted (D) DE	100	Shaheen, Jeanne (D) NH	100
Cardin, Benjamin (D) MD	100	Kerry, John (D) MA	100	Shelby, Richard (R) AL	18
Carper, Thomas (D) DE	100	Kirk, Paul (D) MA	100	Snowe, Olympia J. (R) ME	73
Casey, Robert (D) PA	100	Klobuchar, Amy (D) MN	100	Specter, Arlen (D) PA	64
Chambliss, Saxby (R) GA	0	Kohl, Herbert (D) WI	100	Stabenow, Debbie (D) MI	100
Clinton, Hillary (D) NY	100	Kyl, Jon (R) AZ	0	Tester, Jon (D) MT	100
Coburn, Tom (R) OK	0	Landrieu, Mary (D) LA	91	Thune, John (R) SD	0
Cochran, Thad (R) MS	27	Lautenberg, Frank (D) NJ	100	Udall, Mark (D) CO	100
Collins, Susan (R) ME	64	Leahy, Patrick (D) VT	100	Udall, Tom (D) NM	100
Conrad, Kent (D) ND	82	LeMieux, George (R) FL	17	Vitter, David (R) LA	9
Corker, Bob (R) TN	9	Levin, Carl (D) MI	100	Voinovich, George (R) OH	27
Cornyn, John (R) TX	0	Lieberman, Joseph (I) CT	100	Warner, Mark (D) VA	100
Crapo, Mike (R) ID	18	Lincoln, Blanche (D) AR	82	Webb, James (D) VA	100
DeMint, Jim (R) SC	0	Lugar, Richard (R) IN	18	Whitehouse, Sheldon (D) RI	100
Dodd, Christopher (D) CT	100	Martinez, Mel (R) FL	0	Wicker, Roger (R) MS	18
Dorgan, Byron (D) ND	100	McCain, John (R) AZ	9	Wyden, Ron (D) OR	100
Durbin, Richard (D) IL	100	McCaskill, Claire (D) MO	91		
Ensign, John (R) NV	0	McConnell, Mitch (R) KY	9		

HOUSE LCV SCORES FOR 2009

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Abercrombie, Neil (D) HI-1	100	Bachmann, Michele Marie (R) MN-6	0	Berman, Howard (D) CA-28	100
Ackerman, Gary (D) NY-5	100	Bachus, Spencer (R) AL-6	0	Berry, Marion (D) AR-1	79
Aderholt, Robert (R) AL-4	0	Baird, Brian (D) WA-3	86	Biggert, Judy (R) IL-13	29
Adler, John (D) NJ-3	93	Baldwin, Tammy (D) WI-2	100	Bilbray, Brian (R) CA-50	21
Akin, Todd (R) MO-2	0	Barrett, J. Gresham (R) SC-3	0	Bilirakis, Gus (R) FL-9	14
Alexander, Rodney (R) LA-5	14	Barrow, John (D) GA-12	79	Bishop, Rob (R) UT-1	0
Altmire, Jason (D) PA-4	79	Bartlett, Roscoe (R) MD-6	14	Bishop, Sanford (D) GA-2	93
Andrews, Robert (D) NJ-1	100	Barton, Joe (R) TX-6	0	Bishop, Tim (D) NY-1	100
Arcuri, Michael (D) NY-24	86	Bean, Melissa (D) IL-8	100	Blackburn, Marsha (R) TN-7	0
Austria, Steve (R) OH-7	0	Becerra, Xavier (D) CA-31	100	Blumenauer, Earl (D) OR-3	100
Baca, Joe (D) CA-43	100	Berkley, Shelley (D) NV-1	93	Blunt, Roy (R) MO-7	0

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Bocchieri, John (D) OH-16	93	Conyers, John (D) MI-14	93	Frelinghuysen, Rodney (R) NJ-11	21
Boehner, John (R) OH-8	0	Cooper, Jim (D) TN-5	93	Fudge, Marcia (D) OH-11	93
Bonner, Jo (R) AL-1	0	Costa, Jim (D) CA-20	71	Galleghy, Elton (R) CA-24	7
Bono Mack, Mary (R) CA-45	43	Costello, Jerry (D) IL-12	86	Garamendi, John (D) CA-10	100
Boozman, John (R) AR-3	7	Courtney, Joe (D) CT-2	100	Garrett, Scott (R) NJ-5	0
Boren, Dan (D) OK-2	57	Crenshaw, Ander (R) FL-4	7	Gerlach, James (R) PA-6	43
Boswell, Leonard (D) IA-3	93	Crowley, Joseph (D) NY-7	100	Giffords, Gabrielle (D) AZ-8	100
Boucher, Rick (D) VA-9	100	Cuellar, Henry (D) TX-28	100	Gingrey, Phil (R) GA-11	0
Boustany, Charles (R) LA-7	7	Culberson, John (R) TX-7	0	Gohmert, Louis (R) TX-1	0
Boyd, F. Allen (D) FL-2	93	Cummings, Elijah (D) MD-7	100	Gonzalez, Charles (D) TX-20	100
Brady, Kevin (R) TX-8	0	Dahlkemper, Kathy (D) PA-3	86	Goodlatte, Bob (R) VA-6	14
Brady, Robert (D) PA-1	100	Davis, Artur (D) AL-7	86	Gordon, Bart (D) TN-6	100
Braley, Bruce (D) IA-1	100	Davis, Danny (D) IL-7	100	Granger, Kay (R) TX-12	0
Bright, Bobby (D) AL-2	36	Davis, Geoff (R) KY-4	7	Graves, Sam (R) MO-6	7
Broun, Paul (R) GA-10	0	Davis, Lincoln (D) TN-4	64	Grayson, Alan (D) FL-8	100
Brown, Corrine (D) FL-3	93	Davis, Susan (D) CA-53	93	Green, Al (D) TX-9	100
Brown, Henry (R) SC-1	7	Deal, Nathan (R) GA-9	0	Green, Gene (D) TX-29	100
Brown-Waite, Ginny (R) FL-5	36	DeFazio, Peter (D) OR-4	79	Griffith, Parker (R) AL-5	50
Buchanan, Vernon (R) FL-13	29	DeGette, Diana (D) CO-1	100	Grijalva, Raúl (D) AZ-7	100
Burgess, Michael (R) TX-26	0	Delahunt, William (D) MA-10	100	Guthrie, Brett (R) KY-2	7
Burton, Dan (R) IN-5	0	DeLauro, Rosa (D) CT-3	100	Gutierrez, Luis (D) IL-4	100
Butterfield, G.K. (D) NC-1	93	Dent, Charles (R) PA-15	36	Hall, John (D) NY-19	100
Buyer, Steve (R) IN-4	7	Diaz-Balart, Lincoln (R) FL-21	36	Hall, Ralph (R) TX-4	0
Calvert, Ken (R) CA-44	14	Diaz-Balart, Mario (R) FL-25	36	Halvorson, Deborah (D) IL-11	93
Camp, Dave (R) MI-4	21	Dicks, Norman (D) WA-6	100	Hare, Philip (D) IL-17	93
Campbell, John (R) CA-48	0	Dingell, John (D) MI-15	93	Harman, Jane (D) CA-36	93
Cantor, Eric (R) VA-7	0	Doggett, Lloyd (D) TX-25	100	Harper, Gregg (R) MS-3	7
Cao, Anh "Joseph" (R) LA-2	43	Donnelly, Joe (D) IN-2	79	Hastings, Alcee (D) FL-23	79
Capito, Shelley Moore (R) WV-2	29	Doyle, Mike (D) PA-14	93	Hastings, Richard "Doc" (R) WA-4	0
Capps, Lois (D) CA-23	100	Dreier, David (R) CA-26	0	Heinrich, Martin (R) NM-1	100
Capuano, Michael (D) MA-8	93	Driehaus, Steve (D) OH-1	100	Heller, Dean (R) NV-2	7
Cardoza, Dennis (D) CA-18	86	Duncan, John (R) TN-2	7	Hensarling, Jeb (R) TX-5	0
Carnahan, Russ (D) MO-3	100	Edwards, Chet (D) TX-17	79	Herger, Wally (R) CA-2	0
Carney, Chris (D) PA-10	79	Edwards, Donna (D) MD-4	100	Herseth Sandlin, Stephanie (D) SD-AL	64
Carson, André (D) IN-7	100	Ehlers, Vernon (R) MI-3	50	Higgins, Brian (D) NY-27	100
Carter, John (R) TX-31	0	Ellison, Keith (D) MN-5	93	Hill, Baron (D) IN-9	86
Cassidy, Bill (R) LA-6	21	Ellsworth, Brad (D) IN-8	86	Himes, Jim (D) CT-4	100
Castle, Michael (R) DE-AL	57	Emerson, Jo Ann (R) MO-8	14	Hinchey, Maurice (D) NY-22	100
Castor, Kathy (D) FL-11	100	Engel, Eliot (D) NY-17	93	Hinojosa, Rubén (D) TX-15	79
Chaffetz, Jason (R) UT-3	0	Eshoo, Anna (D) CA-14	100	Hirono, Mazie (D) HI-2	100
Chandler, Ben (D) KY-6	93	Etheridge, Bob (D) NC-2	93	Hodes, Paul (D) NH-2	100
Childers, Travis (D) MS-1	64	Fallin, Mary (R) OK-5	0	Hoekstra, Peter (R) MI-2	7
Chu, Judy (D) CA-32	100	Farr, Sam (D) CA-17	100	Holden, Tim (D) PA-17	86
Clarke, Yvette (D) NY-11	100	Fattah, Chaka (D) PA-2	100	Holt, Rush (D) NJ-12	100
Clay, William Lacy (D) MO-1	100	Filner, Bob (D) CA-51	100	Honda, Michael (D) CA-15	100
Cleaver, Emanuel (D) MO-5	93	Flake, Jeff (R) AZ-6	0	Hoyer, Steny (D) MD-5	86
Clyburn, James (D) SC-6	93	Fleming, John (R) LA-4	0	Hunter, Duncan D. (R) CA-52	0
Coble, Howard (R) NC-6	0	Forbes, Randy (R) VA-4	7	Inglis, Bob (R) SC-4	21
Coffman, Mike (R) CO-6	7	Fortenberry, Jeffrey (R) NE-1	36	Inslee, Jay (D) WA-1	100
Cohen, Steve Ira (D) TN-9	100	Foster, Bill (D) IL-14	64	Israel, Steve (D) NY-2	100
Cole, Tom (R) OK-4	7	Foxx, Virginia (R) NC-5	0	Issa, Darrell (R) CA-49	7
Conaway, K. Michael (R) TX-11	0	Frank, Barney (D) MA-4	100	Jackson, Jesse (D) IL-2	86
Connolly, Gerry (D) VA-11	100	Franks, Trent (R) AZ-2	0	Jackson Lee, Sheila (D) TX-18	100

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Jenkins, Lynn (R) KS-2	7	Maloney, Carolyn (D) NY-14	100	Oberstar, James (D) MN-8	100
Johnson, Eddie Bernice (D) TX-30	100	Manzullo, Donald (R) IL-16	0	Obey, David (D) WI-7	100
Johnson, Hank (D) GA-4	93	Marchant, Kenny (R) TX-24	0	Olson, Pete (R) TX-22	0
Johnson, Sam (R) TX-3	0	Markey, Betsy (D) CO-4	79	Olver, John (D) MA-1	100
Johnson, Timothy (R) IL-15	43	Markey, Edward (D) MA-7	100	Ortiz, Solomon (D) TX-27	86
Jones, Walter (R) NC-3	29	Marshall, Jim (D) GA-8	57	Owens, William (D) NY-23	100
Jordan, James (R) OH-4	0	Massa, Eric (D) NY-29	86	Pallone, Frank (D) NJ-6	100
Kagen, Steve (D) WI-8	100	Matheson, James (D) UT-2	64	Pascrell, William (D) NJ-8	93
Kanjorski, Paul (D) PA-11	100	Matsui, Doris (D) CA-5	100	Pastor, Ed (D) AZ-4	100
Kaptur, Marcy (D) OH-9	100	McCarthy, Carolyn (D) NY-4	93	Paul, Ron (R) TX-14	0
Kennedy, Patrick (D) RI-1	71	McCarthy, Kevin (R) CA-22	0	Paulsen, Erik (R) MN-3	21
Kildee, Dale (D) MI-5	100	McCaul, Michael (R) TX-10	14	Payne, Donald (D) NJ-10	100
Kilpatrick, Carolyn (D) MI-13	100	McClintock, Tom (R) CA-4	0	Pelosi, Nancy (D) CA-8	NA
Kilroy, Mary Jo (D) OH-15	100	McCollum, Betty (D) MN-4	100	Pence, Mike (R) IN-6	0
Kind, Ronald (D) WI-3	100	McCotter, Thaddeus (R) MI-11	36	Perlmutter, Edwin (D) CO-7	100
King, Peter (R) NY-3	36	McDermott, Jim (D) WA-7	93	Perriello, Tom (D) VA-5	71
King, Steve (R) IA-5	0	McGovern, James (D) MA-3	100	Peters, Gary (D) MI-9	100
Kingston, Jack (R) GA-1	0	McHenry, Patrick (R) NC-10	0	Peterson, Collin (D) MN-7	79
Kirk, Mark (R) IL-10	71	McHugh, John (R) NY-23	67	Petri, Thomas (R) WI-6	36
Kirkpatrick, Ann (D) AZ-1	86	McIntyre, Mike (D) NC-7	79	Pingree, Chellie (D) ME-1	100
Kissell, Larry (D) NC-8	79	McKeon, Howard "Buck" (R) CA-25	14	Pitts, Joseph (R) PA-16	0
Klein, Ron (D) FL-22	100	McMahon, Mike (D) NY-13	100	Platts, Todd (R) PA-19	36
Kline, John (R) MN-2	0	McMorris Rodgers, Cathy (R) WA-5	0	Poe, Ted (R) TX-2	0
Kosmas, Suzanne (D) FL-24	100	McNerney, Jerry (D) CA-11	93	Polis, Jared (D) CO-2	100
Kratovil, Frank (D) MD-1	79	MEEK, Kendrick (D) FL-17	100	Pomeroy, Earl (D) ND-AL	71
Kucinich, Dennis (D) OH-10	79	Meeks, Gregory (D) NY-6	100	Posey, Bill (R) FL-15	7
Lamborn, Douglas (R) CO-5	0	Melancon, Charles (D) LA-3	64	Price, David (D) NC-4	100
Lance, Leonard (R) NJ-7	71	Mica, John (R) FL-7	7	Price, Tom (R) GA-6	0
Langevin, James (D) RI-2	100	Michaud, Mike (D) ME-2	100	Putnam, Adam (R) FL-12	14
Larsen, Richard (D) WA-2	100	Miller, Brad (D) NC-13	100	Quigley, Mike (D) IL-5	100
Larson, John (D) CT-1	100	Miller, Candice (R) MI-10	50	Radanovich, George (R) CA-19	0
Latham, Tom (R) IA-4	0	Miller, Gary (R) CA-42	0	Rahall, Nick (D) WV-3	86
Latourette, Steven (R) OH-14	43	Miller, George (D) CA-7	86	Rangel, Charles (D) NY-15	100
Latta, Bob (D) OH-5	0	Miller, Jeff (R) FL-1	0	Rehberg, Dennis (R) MT-AL	7
Lee, Barbara (D) CA-9	100	Minnick, Walt (D) ID-1	43	Reichert, Dave (R) WA-8	64
Lee, Christopher (D) NY-26	14	Mitchell, Harry (D) AZ-5	71	Reyes, Silvestre (D) TX-16	93
Levin, Sander (D) MI-12	100	Mollohan, Alan (D) WV-1	86	Richardson, Laura (D) CA-37	100
Lewis, Jerry (R) CA-41	7	Moore, Dennis (D) KS-3	93	Rodriguez, Ciro (D) TX-23	86
Lewis, John (D) GA-5	79	Moore, Gwendolynne (D) WI-4	93	Roe, Phil (R) TN-1	7
Linder, John (R) GA-7	0	Moran, James (D) VA-8	93	Rogers, Harold (R) KY-5	21
Lipinski, Daniel (D) IL-3	86	Moran, Jerry (R) KS-1	0	Rogers, Michael D. (R) AL-3	7
LoBiondo, Frank (R) NJ-2	79	Murphy, Chris (D) CT-5	100	Rogers, Mike (R) MI-8	21
Loebsack, David (D) IA-2	100	Murphy, Patrick (D) PA-8	93	Rohrabacher, Dana (R) CA-46	7
Lofgren, Zoe (D) CA-16	100	Murphy, Scott (D) NY-20	88	Rooney, Tom (R) FL-16	14
Lowey, Nita (D) NY-18	100	Murphy, Tim (R) PA-18	36	Roskam, Peter (R) IL-6	29
Lucas, Frank (R) OK-3	0	Murtha, John (D) PA-12	93	Ros-Lehtinen, Ileana (R) FL-18	57
Luetkemeyer, Blaine (R) MO-9	7	Myrick, Sue (R) NC-9	0	Ross, Mike (D) AR-4	71
Luján, Ben (D) NM-3	100	Nadler, Jerrold (D) NY-8	100	Rothman, Steven R. (D) NJ-9	93
Lummis, Cynthia (R) WY-AL	0	Napolitano, Grace (D) CA-38	100	Roybal-Allard, Lucille (D) CA-34	93
Lungren, Dan (R) CA-3	0	Neal, Richard (D) MA-2	93	Royce, Edward (R) CA-40	7
Lynch, Stephen (D) MA-9	93	Neugebauer, Randy (R) TX-19	0	Ruppersberger, C.A. "Dutch" (D) MD-2	86
Mack, Connie (R) FL-14	7	Nunes, Devin (R) CA-21	7	Rush, Bobby (D) IL-1	100
Maffei, Dan (D) NY-25	100	Nye, Glenn (D) VA-2	64	Ryan, Paul (R) WI-1	7

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Ryan, Tim (D) OH-17	100	Smith, Adam (D) WA-9	100	Towns, Edolphus (D) NY-10	100
Salazar, John (D) CO-3	79	Smith, Adrian (R) NE-3	0	Tsongas, Nicki (D) MA-5	100
Sánchez, Linda (D) CA-39	79	Smith, Christopher (R) NJ-4	79	Turner, Mike (R) OH-3	43
Sanchez, Loretta (D) CA-47	100	Smith, Lamar (R) TX-21	7	Upton, Fred (R) MI-6	36
Sarbanes, John (D) MD-3	100	Snyder, Vic (D) AR-2	10	Van Hollen, Chris (D) MD-8	100
Scalise, Steve (R) LA-1	0	Solis, Hilda (D) CA-32	10	Velázquez, Nydia (D) NY-12	93
Schakowsky, Janice (D) IL-9	100	Souder, Mark (R) IN-3	0	Visclosky, Peter (D) IN-1	86
Schauer, Mark (D) MI-7	100	Space, Zachary (D) OH-18	86	Walden, Greg (R) OR-2	43
Schiff, Adam (D) CA-29	100	Speier, Jackie (D) CA-12	93	Walz, Timothy (D) MN-1	93
Schmidt, Jean (R) OH-2	21	Spratt, John (D) SC-5	100	Wamp, Zach (R) TN-3	14
Schock, Aaron (R) IL-18	29	Stark, Fortney "Pete" (D) CA-13	71	Wasserman Schultz, Debbie (D) FL-20	93
Schrader, Kurt (D) OR-5	93	Stearns, Cliff (R) FL-6	0	Waters, Maxine (D) CA-35	93
Schwartz, Allyson (D) PA-13	100	Stupak, Bart (D) MI-1	93	Watson, Diane (D) CA-33	100
Scott, Bobby (D) VA-3	93	Sullivan, John (R) OK-1	0	Watt, Melvin (D) NC-12	100
Scott, David (D) GA-13	93	Sutton, Betty Sue (D) OH-13	100	Waxman, Henry (D) CA-30	100
Sensenbrenner, F. James (R) WI-5	7	Tanner, John (D) TN-8	57	Weiner, Anthony (D) NY-9	86
Serrano, José (D) NY-16	100	Tauscher, Ellen (D) CA-10	91	Welch, Peter (D) VT-AL	93
Sessions, Pete (R) TX-32	0	Taylor, Gene (D) MS-4	43	Westmoreland, Lynn (R) GA-3	0
Sestak, Joe (D) PA-7	93	Teague, Harry (D) NM-2	86	Wexler, Robert (D) FL-19	100
Shadegg, John (R) AZ-3	0	Terry, Lee (R) NE-2	14	Whitfield, Edward (R) KY-1	29
Shea-Porter, Carol (D) NH-1	100	Thompson, Bennie (D) MS-2	100	Wilson, Charlie (D) OH-6	86
Sherman, Brad (D) CA-27	100	Thompson, Glenn (R) PA-5	0	Wilson, Joe (R) SC-2	0
Shimkus, John (R) IL-19	7	Thompson, Mike (D) CA-1	100	Wittman, Robert (R) VA-1	21
Shuler, Heath (D) NC-11	86	Thornberry, William "Mac" (R) TX-13	0	Wolf, Frank (R) VA-10	21
Shuster, Bill (R) PA-9	7	Tiahrt, Todd (R) KS-4	0	Woolsey, Lynn (D) CA-6	100
Simpson, Mike (R) ID-2	21	Tiberi, Patrick (R) OH-12	29	Wu, David (D) OR-1	100
Sires, Albio (D) NJ-13	86	Tierney, John (D) MA-6	100	Yarmuth, John (D) KY-3	100
Skelton, Ike (D) MO-4	93	Titus, Dina (D) NV-3	100	Young, C.W. Bill (R) FL-10	29
Slaughter, Louise (D) NY-28	100	Tonko, Paul (D) NY-21	100	Young, Don (R) AK-AL	29

ADD MY VOICE TO AMERICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL MAJORITY

Please visit www.lcv.org/scorecard to view the scorecard electronically, share it with friends and family, and learn more about how you can join with other environmental activists around the country who are making their voices heard from the statehouse to the White House.

To track how your representative and senators vote on key environmental, clean energy and public health votes in 2010, please visit www.lcv.org to view our Online Vote Tracker.

To make an additional contribution to LCV to support our efforts to turn your environmental values into national priorities, please use the enclosed envelope or visit www.lcv.org/donate.

Thank you for being the voice for the environment.



facebook

www.facebook.com/LCVoters



twitter™

www.twitter.com/LCVoters



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

1920 L STREET, NW, SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20036
PHONE: 202.785.8683
WWW.LCV.ORG



NON-PROFIT
US POSTAGE
PAID
SOUTHERN MD
PERMIT # 3323

This publication was designed and printed using 100% wind power and was printed on an alcohol-free press with soy-based inks on 100% recycled stock.

LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS | WWW.LCV.ORG

